		1
1		STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH DIVISION	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	. CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 4:09-CR-122-Y
4	V.	•
5	SALVADOR MANUEL GARCIA- COVARRUBIAS	. Fort Worth, Texas . May 17, 2010
6		
7		
8	TD ANCCD T DT	OF DDOCEEDINGS
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  (Sentencing Hearing)	
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE TERRY R. MEANS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
11		
12		
13		
14	<u>APPEARANCES</u> :	
15	For the Government:	MR. JOSHUA T. BURGESS United States Attorney's Office 801 Cherry Street, Suite 1700
16		Fort Worth, Texas 76102-6897 (817) 252-5200
17	For the Defendant:	MR. J. STEVEN BUSH
18	ror the berendant.	Attorney at Law
19		Western Union Building 314 Main Street, Suite 200
20		Fort Worth, Texas 76102 (817) 878-2770
21	Court Reporter:	MS. ANA P. WARREN
22		U.S. District Court Reporter 501 W. 10th Street, Room 201 Fort Worth, Texas 76102-3637
23		(817) 850-6681
24		
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.	

2 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (Commencing, 11:00 a.m.) 3 THE COURT: Next before the Court is the sentencing 4 of Salvador Manuel Garcia-Covarrubias, Cause Number 5 4:09-CR-122-Y-(2), 6 Let the record reflect that Mr. Garcia is being assisted 7 with translation from English into Spanish and Spanish into English by Mr. Mike Mahler, a certified court translator, who 8 9 has previously been sworn. 10 Are the parties ready to proceed? 11 MR. BURGESS: Yes, Your Honor. 12 MR. BUSH: Yes, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Garcia, would you please acknowledge your presence in court for the record by stating your full 14 15 name? 16 DEFENDANT GARCIA: Salvador Manuel 17 Garcia-Covarrubias. THE COURT: Mr. Garcia, you appeared before the Court 18 on January 25, 2010, at which time you entered a plea of 19 2.0 quilty to Count 1 of the indictment charging you with 21 possession of a controlled substance with intent to 22 distribute, in violation of 21, United States Code, Section 23 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(B). On that date, I found that your plea 24 of guilty was a knowing and voluntary plea supported by an

independent basis in fact containing each of the essential

25

4 1 suppression hearing. 2 To encourage acceptance of responsibility and the disposal 3 of criminal cases by plea agreements, it is my practice to 4 grant the acceptance of responsibility reduction unless there 5 is conduct inconsistent with acceptance after the entry of a 6 guilty plea by the defendant. 7 The defendant's objection to being held accountable for 8 six kilograms of cocaine is overruled for the reasons set out 9 in the addendum and the government's response. 10 Does the government have any objection and/or evidence 11 relating to my tentative findings? 12 MR. BURGESS: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 13 THE COURT: Does the defendant? 14 MR. BUSH: We have no additional evidence, Your 15 Honor. 16 THE COURT: Then I adopt as my final findings of fact 17 the statements of fact made in the presentence report, subject to and including changes and qualifications made by the 18 addendum and that I made in response to the objections. 19 20 After having considered the conclusions expressed by the 21 probation officer in the presentence report as to the 22 appropriate guideline calculations and after having considered 23 objections, I determine that the appropriate guideline 24 calculations are: 25 Total offense level 32. Criminal History Category I.

sentencing commission as advisory only under U.S. v. Booker.

25

2.0

In arriving at a reasonable sentence, I've taken into account primarily the conduct admitted by Mr. Garcia in his factual resume and those matters required to be considered by Section 3553(a).

The attorneys will have a final chance to make legal objections before sentence is finally imposed.

It is the judgment of the Court that the defendant,
Salvador Manuel Garcia-Covarrubias, in Cause Number

4:09-CR-122-Y-(02), be committed to the custody of the Federal
Bureau of Prisons for a period of 121 months. Restitution is
not ordered because there is no victim other than society at
large. I do not order a fine or cost of incarceration because
Mr. Garcia does not have the financial resources or future
earning capacity to pay a fine or cost of incarceration.

Upon release from imprisonment, Mr. Garcia shall be on supervised release for a term of four years. Pursuant to 18, United States Code, Section 3583(d), as a condition of supervised release upon the completion of the sentence of imprisonment, Mr. Garcia shall be surrendered by the Bureau of Prisons to a duly authorized immigration official for deportation in accordance with the established procedures provided by the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8, United States Code, Sections 1101 and following.

As a condition of supervised release, if ordered deported, he shall remain outside the United States. In the event he is

not deported immediately upon release or should he ever be within the United States during any portion of the term of supervised release, he shall also comply with the standard conditions recommended by the sentencing commission and comply with certain additional conditions.

Did you have a chance to review those with him, those additional conditions?

MR. BUSH: Yes. He's signed the order setting additional terms of supervised release.

THE COURT: All right. If you will hand that forward, please, sir.

Those additional conditions have been set out in a separate order signed by me this day and offered to Mr. Garcia for his review and signature, and he has now returned that to me with his signature indicating his receipt of those additional terms, his understanding of them, and his waiver of having them read here in open court. In addition, he is ordered to pay a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

In determining the sentence, I have considered the advisory guidelines as well as statutory directives listed in 18, USC, Section 3553(a). This sentence is within the guideline range, and that range exceeded 24 months. I selected a sentence of 121 months, which is at the bottom of the advisory guideline range, because that sentence is sufficient to achieve the Court's sentencing objectives and

the objectives of 18, USC, Section 3553(a).

I have now stated the sentence and the reasons.

Therefore, I call upon the parties to indicate any legal reason why sentence may not be imposed as stated.

MR. BURGESS: Not from the United States, Your Honor.

MR. BUSH: Objection Number 1 would be that if it should develop that the co-defendants in this case are attributed with a quantity of less than six kilograms of drugs, that would be an additional reason for me to object to the guideline application in this case, and I would do so for appellate purposes.

My understanding was that Mr. Gaona and Ms. Morales were to have been sentenced today, but in speaking briefly with the U.S. Attorney and probation, it appears that they are not on the schedule for today. So my client is the first of the three defendants, as I understand it, that's being sentenced because I don't have information available to me about what quantity might be attributable to them at this time.

Objection Number 2 is that I believe the sentence under the statutory sentencing factors is unreasonable, and I would use that as the basis for any appeal that might be filed on behalf --

THE COURT: I will tell you this. If they are held accountable for less than six kilograms, it would be because they have presented a case to rebut that they were capable of

	11
1	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
2	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter, and
3	that the transcript was prepared by me and under my
4	supervision.
5	s/ Ana P. WarrenJuly 27, 2010Ana P. Warren, CSR #2302Date
6	U.S. District Court Reporter
7	-000-
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	